

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
2 Thomas Mavrakakis (SBN 177927)
3 Matthew E. Hocker (SBN 188546)
4 HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP
301 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: (650) 463-8100
Facsimile: (650) 463-8400

Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and for Plaintiff
SYNOPSYS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSIS AND THE
DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE BRIEF

HOWREY
SIMON
ARNOLD &
WHITE

1 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between Ricoh Company, Ltd. and
 2 Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex, Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc, Matrox
 3 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc.
 4 ("Defendants") that due to the nature of the technology of the patent-in-suit and the number of claims
 5 which must be addressed in the claim construction briefs, and that Ricoh's opening brief addressing
 6 such issues was 53 pages, Synopsys and Defendants may file this Stipulation requesting leave of the
 7 Court to file a responsive brief in support of their claim construction exceeding 25 pages up to and
 8 including 80 pages without opposition from Ricoh Company, Ltd.

9 Dated: September 8, 2004

10
 11 HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP
 12
 13

14 By: /s/ Matthew E. Hocker
 15 Teresa M. Corbin
 16 Thomas C. Mavrakakis
 17 Matthew E. Hocker

18 Attorneys for Defendants
 19 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
 20 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
 21 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
 22 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
 23 CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and Plaintiff
 24 SYNOPSYS, INC.

25 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP

26 By: _____
 27 Gary Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)
 28 Kenneth W. Brothers (*pro hac vice*)
 Edward M. Meilman (*pro hac vice*)

29 ALTSHULER, BERZON NUSSBAUM, RUBIN &
 30 DEMAIN
 31 Jeffrey B. Demain

32 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Defendant
 33 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Ricoh Company, Ltd. and
2 Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex, Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc, Matrox
3 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc.
4 ("Defendants") that due to the nature of the technology of the patent-in-suit and the number of claims
5 which must be addressed in the claim construction briefs, and that Ricoh's opening brief addressing
6 such issues was 53 pages, Synopsys and Defendants may file this Stipulation requesting leave of the
7 Court to file a responsive brief in support of their claim construction exceeding 25 pages up to and
8 including 80 pages without opposition from Ricoh Company, Ltd. ~

9 Dated: September 8, 2004

10

11

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

12

13

14

By: _____

15 Teresa M. Corbin
Thomas C. Mavrakakis
Matthew E. Hocker

16

17

18 Attorneys for Defendants
19 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and Plaintiff
20 SYNOPSYS, INC.

21

22

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP

23

By: _____
Gary Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)
24 Kenneth W. Brothers (*pro hac vice*)
Edward M. Meilman (*pro hac vice*)

25

26

ALTSHULER, BERZON NUSSBAUM, RUBIN &
DEMAIN
Jeffrey B. Demain

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Defendant
RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

HOWREY
SIMON
ARNOLD &
WHITE

STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSYS AND THE
DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE BRIEF
EXCEEDING 25 PAGES AND ORDER THEREON
Case Nos. 03-04669 MJJ (BMC) and 03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

2

ORDER

Having read and considered the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor,

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED that the claim construction brief filed

on behalf of Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox

Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc.

may exceed the page limits specified in Civil L.R. 7.3, as stipulated by the parties.

may exceed the page limits specified in Civil L.R. 7.3, as stipulated by the parties.

Dated: _____

Hon. Martin J. Jenkins